

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,006	03/28/2002	Alexander Pilger	1454.1124	7148
21171	7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	TON, DC 20005		2143	
	,		DATE MAILED: 08/22/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/018,006	PILGER ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner ()	Art Unit				
3	1					
	Joseph E. Avellino	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
. 🔯 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which						
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	otice of Appeal (with appeal fee bliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	η.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the f atutory period for reply originally set in is after the mailing date of the final rej	ee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further of (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be 	onsideration and/or search (see ow);	NOTE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))).					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		n-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	•	rate, timely filed amendment canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	out before or on the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal will not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a ry and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant fails to provide a d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims aff	ter entry is below or attached.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b Arguments are not persuasive (see continuation sheet)		on in condition for allowance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)				
13. Other:		DAVIO WILEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER				

Jan 2 19 19

Applicants arguments dated August 8, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, Applicant argues, in substance, that (1) there is no evidence in Ellington that a NIC card is an autonomous device which determines predetermined quality of service features for interaction with the network.

As to point (1) Applicant is limiting the claim. A broad interpretation of "an access unit used to determine predetermined quality of service features for interaction with the network" is that the NIC card is utilized (not necessarily makes the determination) in determining the QoS for interaction with the network. By this rationale, the NIC card is used to transmit the Token Ring frame to the LAN/ATM device and is therefore used to determine a predetermine QoS features for interaciton with the network. By this rationale, the rejection is maintained.

A